

THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
HOUSTON DIVISION

* * * * *

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA * NO. H-14-CR-250
* Houston, Texas
VS. *
* 3:01 p.m. - 4:01 p.m.
PHILIP JOSEPH RIVKIN * June 24, 2014

* * * * *

**DETENTION HEARING/ARRAIGNMENT
(Volume 2 of 2)**

BEFORE THE HONORABLE MARY MILLOY
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

* * * * *

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording
Transcript produced by transcription service

GLR TRANSCRIBERS
9251 Lynne Circle
Orange, Texas 77630 * 866-993-1313

1 **APPEARANCES:**

2 For the United States:

3 MS. LESLIE F. LEHNERT
4 **Environmental Crimes Section**
4 **U.S. Department of Justice**
5 P.O. Box 7611
5 Ben Franklin Station
6 Washington, D.C. 20044-7611

7 For the Defendant:

8 MS. LINDSAY A. BELLINGER
8 **Federal Public Defender's Office**
9 440 Louisiana, Suite 1350
9 Houston, Texas 77002

10 Court Clerk:

11 CYNTHIA JANTOWSKI

12 Electronic Recorder:

13 MARILYN FLORES

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

GLR TRANSCRIBERS

9251 Lynne Circle
Orange, Texas 77630 * 866-993-1313

1 **I N D E X**

2 GOVERNMENT'S EVIDENCE:

3 Agent Lea Bauer	
4 Cross (Continued) by Ms. Bellinger.....	9
5 Redirect Examination by Ms. Lehnert.....	33
6 Recross-Examination by Ms. Bellinger.....	36
7 Examination by the Court.....	38
8 Argument by the United States.....	42
9 Argument by the Defense.....	43
10 Detention ruling by the Court.....	46
11 Arraignment.....	48

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PROCEDINGS

3:01 P.M. - JUNE 24, 2014

3 THE COURT: All right. Ms. Lehnert?

4 MS. LEHNERT: Yes, Your Honor.

5 THE COURT: Yesterday I was thinking this was
6 a conspiracy and I needed to look at the Grand Jury
7 testimony to cull through what was pertinent to
8 Mr. Rivkin, but it isn't. There's only Mr. Rivkin on
9 this Indictment. But there is no transcript; right?

10 MS. LEHNERT: We have checked with the Grand
11 Jury coordinator and she indicated that there is no
12 transcript yet. We could request a transcript
13 expedited and it would be available sometime next week.

14 THE COURT: Okay, do that.

15 MS. LEHNERT: Okay.

16 THE COURT: And what about Ms. Bauer's
17 reports? Do you have Ms. Bauer's reports?

18 MS. LEHNERT: I provided your office this
19 morning with copies of four reports of Agent Bauer's,
20 as well as four additional reports that relate to the
21 two witnesses.

22 THE COURT: No, but those were witness
23 statements.

24 MS. LEHNERT: Those are her reports.

25 THE COURT: She didn't make any other reports?

1 MS. LEHNERT: She made -- there's a motion
2 pending from defense counsel that I'll address, which
3 is there are four other reports that Agent Bauer wrote
4 in the course of the investigation, but they are
5 unrelated to the charges that are pending against
6 Mr. Rivkin, and so we have not produced those because
7 they are not related to the testimony.

8 And I can show you them in camera if you'd
9 like, Your Honor, and you can question Ms. Bauer if
10 you'd like to.

11 THE COURT: Okay. Well, I mean, the witness
12 reports that you gave to Ms. Bellinger?

13 MS. LEHNERT: Yes.

14 THE COURT: I don't think they fit the
15 definition of the 26.2, so be grateful that you got
16 those, Ms. Bellinger, because those aren't Ms. Bauer's
17 reports. But Ms. Bauer's reports, you're saying, don't
18 relate to Mr. Rivkin's charges?

19 MS. LEHNERT: No, we have additional interview
20 reports. Those are the only reports that I'm aware of
21 from Agent Bauer related to the case are interview
22 reports.

23 THE COURT: Interview reports.

24 MS. LEHNERT: That's what they generated.

25 THE COURT: All right. Are you aware of

1 anything else, Ms. Bellinger?

2 MS. BELLINGER: I wouldn't have knowledge --

3 THE COURT: Yeah.

4 MS. BELLINGER: -- of what type of reports she
5 had prepared. I was just requesting a ruling as to if
6 there were additional reports. I just assumed that
7 based on the matters that she testified to, given
8 moving the money around in various bank accounts, the
9 creation of these RINs, I assume that there was some
10 sort of case report that would have been generated in
11 relation to those things.

12 THE COURT: I guess I would have assumed so,
13 too, but there's not, Ms. Lehnert?

14 MS. LEHNERT: Not that I understand. Now, the
15 agents could -- they produce interview reports as part
16 of the case and then we collaborate --

17 THE COURT: Okay. All right. I take you at
18 your word. But I'll look at whatever else she has to
19 see if there's anything relative or related to
20 Mr. Rivkin's --

21 MS. LEHNERT: As I said, I have I believe it's
22 four other reports that she had written. They are
23 witness statement reports, but they --

24 THE COURT: Okay. But they are not related to
25 Mr. Rivkin?

1 MS. LEHNERT: They do not relate to the charges
2 against Mr. Rivkin. They were taken in the course of
3 the investigation. So they mention Mr. Rivkin, but
4 they are not related to the charges.

5 THE COURT: I may later look at those. I'm
6 not certain what that means.

7 All right, so I guess you have everything
8 that Ms. Bauer created. And then when you get the
9 Grand Jury transcript, if there is anything in there
10 that would cause me to reopen the matter of detention,
11 because that's what we're here on, then you can bring
12 it to my attention.

13 All right, so let's go forward. Is
14 Ms. Bauer here? All right.

15 MS. BELLINGER: Your Honor --

16 THE COURT: We'll continue the hearing.

17 Yes?

18 MS. BELLINGER: I'm sorry to interrupt. I
19 guess the point of the rule of 26.2 and as it relates
20 to the Detention Hearing would be that we would be able
21 to review those prior to cross-examining the witness,
22 and that's the whole point of the rule, that we be
23 allowed to review her statements prior to that
24 cross-examination. So I believe that whatever we were
25 to review later on, I guess I don't see how it could

1 assist us if the hearing had already taken place.

2 THE COURT: Here's the problem. The
3 transcript isn't ready.

4 MS. BELLINGER: Yes, Your Honor.

5 THE COURT: Under 3142(g) I'm looking at the
6 nature and circumstances of the offense, the weight of
7 the evidence, and Mr. Rivkin's personal history and
8 characteristics. So the weight of the offense is only
9 one of the factors I need to look at. So Ms. Bauer can
10 testify as to the weight of the offense. If there is
11 something in her Grand Jury testimony that you think
12 changes -- would change any of my decision-making in
13 regard to the weight of the offense, the weight of the
14 evidence against him, you can bring that to my
15 attention when the transcript is ready. But we're
16 going to go forward, okay?

17 MS. BELLINGER: I guess just for purposes --

18 THE COURT: You would object?

19 MS. BELLINGER: I guess our request would be
20 that we would have --

21 THE COURT: Sure.

22 MS. BELLINGER: -- that we would request a
23 continuance until such time as we were able to review
24 those.

25 THE COURT: Sure. Okay, thank you. We're

1 going to go ahead and go forward.

2 Ms. Bauer.

3 You were sworn yesterday; right?

4 THE WITNESS: Yes.

5 THE COURT: Okay. You're still under oath.

6 MS. BELLINGER: May I proceed, Your Honor?

7 THE COURT: Sure.

8 **SPECIAL AGENT LEA BAUER**

9 **CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued)**

10 **BY MS. BELLINGER:**

11 Q. Good afternoon, Agent Bauer.

12 A. Hello.

13 Q. Okay. To your knowledge, there was actually
14 biodiesel produced at the Green Diesel facility; is
15 that correct?

16 A. My understanding is, based on information that was
17 provided to us by Harvey Greenwood and David Khan, that
18 there was a test batch that was produced that was in a
19 very small amount. It was produced prior to the time
20 period of the RIN sales that we are alleging, and there
21 was never any production after the time period that the
22 RIN sales began for what we're alleging in the
23 Indictment.

24 Q. And at the time that the RINs were created, I
25 guess, by the person using the PRIVKIN2 password, at

1 the time that those were created, did they refer to the
2 time of the production of the biodiesel?

3 A. The production of the biodiesel was a couple of
4 years before that. So my understanding was that those
5 should -- that the RIN production should correspond
6 with biofuel that's produced in that time period, that
7 year, to meet the renewable fuel standard. So there
8 was not any production during the time in which we have
9 alleged the fraudulent RINs were produced.

10 Q. So would there have had -- in the creation of the
11 RIN, would there have had to have been a statement as
12 to when the biodiesel was produced that corresponds to
13 that RIN that was created?

14 A. There are quarterly reports that have to be
15 produced to the EPA by any producer or refiner that is
16 actually making the biodiesel, which would then
17 indicate when it was produced. And it gets a certain
18 batch number which indicates a lot of things about it.
19 But it would have to be produced during that same year.

20 Q. So, when the RINs were first created, allegedly, by
21 Mr. Rivkin or his company, what was the date that was
22 given -- what was the corresponding date of production
23 of biodiesel?

24 A. The RINs that we are alleging are in 2011, from
25 July 2010 to July 2011.

1 Q. So there was a statement made that the biodiesel
2 had been produced within that same year?

3 A. That is my understanding, yes. Those are the
4 quarterly reports that were sent up to the EPA from
5 Mr. Rivkin.

6 Q. Okay. And are there differing opinions as to how
7 much biodiesel had been created by Green Diesel, the
8 company?

9 A. Differing opinions by whom?

10 Q. By individuals that worked at the plant.

11 A. The information that we've gotten for during the
12 time frame that we've alleged in the Indictment,
13 everybody tells us the plant was not working, so there
14 was no biodiesel being produced at that time. There
15 was just the small batch that was produced earlier that
16 was the test batch.

17 Q. And do you know the size of the test batch?

18 A. From what Harvey Greenwood told us, it was about
19 63,000 gallons, roughly.

20 Q. And what would be the amount of biodiesel necessary
21 to correspond to the number of RINs that were created?

22 A. It would be millions of gallons.

23 Q. Okay. And through your witness interviews, did
24 anyone indicate that some additional batches had been
25 created after that time period?

1 A. From what we were told by -- primarily by Harvey
2 Greenwood and David Khan is that they continued to try
3 and produce "on spec" biodiesel and they were not
4 successful in doing so.

5 Q. And when you say "on spec", does that mean to meet
6 the specifications -- American specifications or
7 European specifications?

8 A. Well, these would be American specifications
9 because it was -- these renewable fuels were driven by
10 the EPA here in the United States.

11 Q. So, during the time of your interviews, did anybody
12 indicate that the biodiesel did meet American
13 specifications, but not European specifications?

14 A. There was the test batch, and I believe it was
15 Mr. Greenwood that said that it had met -- the small
16 batch had met at one point American specifications, but
17 was not -- from what I understand, the level was much
18 higher to meet for European standards. But again, it
19 was that small test batch.

20 Q. And if a person -- in order to create a RIN, could
21 a person also acquire or purchase biodiesel?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. And that would be perfectly legal to purchase the
24 biodiesel and then create a RIN?

25 A. That is my understanding, yes.

1 Q. Okay. And throughout your interviews, did you
2 encounter information that biodiesel had been
3 purchased?

4 A. We -- the EPA was provided information that
5 biodiesel had been purchased by a company named
6 Sonorawest. And we ran that out and found out that
7 Sonorawest, in fact, had never existed and that the
8 invoices that Mr. Rivkin provided the EPA to actually
9 show that there were these transactions between Green
10 Diesel and Sonorawest, Fuel Streamers and Sonorawest,
11 they were all, in fact, false.

12 Q. Okay. And I guess let me get into that a little
13 bit more. How did you determine that these invoices
14 were false?

15 A. The first thing that we did was try to track down,
16 was there an operating Sonorawest company, which we
17 could not locate. Secondly, we actually looked at the
18 invoices that were created and subpoenaed the book --
19 the records from Quickbooks, which was an online
20 system. And when we got into there, the way Quickbooks
21 actually captures -- Quickbooks actually captures a
22 time frame in which an invoice was created, and the
23 invoices that were created and submitted to the EPA
24 were created after the time frame that the EPA had
25 requested the documents. And so therefore, the

1 creation time for the invoice did not match with the
2 date of the invoice, and they were all created after
3 the EPA had asked for the production.

4 Q. Did the EPA either receive, in request -- in
5 response to their request or later discover any other
6 invoices that would indicate that Green Diesel or any
7 of Mr. Rivkin's companies had acquired biodiesel?

8 A. Not to my knowledge.

9 Q. Did any individuals that were interviewed regarding
10 this issue indicate that they thought that biodiesel
11 had been acquired at some time?

12 A. It was alleged that there was biodiesel that was
13 acquired and was shipped in on a barge. And we ran
14 down the barge and found out the barge, in fact, never
15 existed, had never actually brought any type of
16 renewable fuel to the United States for Mr. Rivkin to
17 purchase.

18 Q. Are you aware of the fact that the, I guess -- I'm
19 not sure of the correct word, but basically, the oil
20 that was needed to create biodiesel had been acquired
21 by the company?

22 A. I know there were some purchases made. That's not
23 my area of expertise. And we were specifically looking
24 for was the biodiesel produced, because it had to have
25 been produced in order for him to legitimately actually

1 go in and generate a RIN.

2 Q. And when the actual facility was inspected, there
3 was equipment present that could have produced
4 biodiesel; is that correct?

5 A. That's my understanding, but it was not operating.

6 Q. And how many times did the EPA inspect this
7 facility?

8 A. To my knowledge, just the August 2011 inspection.

9 Q. And based on your witness interviews, did anyone
10 ever indicate that biodiesel was created after this
11 original test batch?

12 A. I think there was some statement that they
13 continued to attempt to create it, but were not able to
14 create biodiesel that -- and this was early on -- that
15 would actually meet standards for it to be sold. And
16 then eventually the plant just was shut down and quit
17 operating and they started -- they changed it over to a
18 dehydration plant and started just using it for those
19 purposes and for smaller projects that the company was
20 involved in.

21 Q. And was the dehydration plant dehydrating
22 biodiesel?

23 A. That's not my area of expertise. I don't think
24 that -- because I'm not an expert in that area, I don't
25 think the two are synonymous, but I really would rather

1 not comment just because I don't know.

2 Q. And do you know what type of quantities of
3 non-specification biodiesel may have been produced
4 after the original 60,000?

5 A. I do not.

6 Q. To your knowledge, during this time frame, did
7 Mr. Rivkin have other legitimate companies?

8 A. From our investigation, we found that there were a
9 number of companies that he was operating. They were
10 all very commingled, if you will. All of his employees
11 tended to receive their benefits from one company,
12 their paychecks from another company. And so
13 everything, even though there were a number of
14 companies he was operating, they were all commingled.
15 In all of the interviews that we conducted, the -- in
16 most of the interviews that we conducted, the witnesses
17 told us they were very confused because they thought
18 they went to work for Green Diesel and then it switched
19 over to Fuel Streamers, but they got their insurance
20 from Petro Constructors. And so it was -- it seemed to
21 be one big company. Even though there were a number of
22 names, they were all operating synonymously.

23 Q. In your investigation, did you discover that there
24 was other legitimate business being done by biodiesel
25 specifically?

1 A. Not biodiesel. From what I understand, there was
2 a terminal that was being run called the IFL Terminal,
3 which is up near the Houston Intercontinental Airport,
4 and that were -- that was some fuel that was being
5 brought in and sold, was being purchased and sold,
6 small quantities at that particular terminal. But in a
7 witness testimony, we were told that they were not
8 producing biodiesel at that terminal.

9 Q. So that was a different type of fuel --

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. -- to your knowledge?

12 And I apologize, I misspoke. Actually, I
13 meant, was there other legitimate business being
14 conducted by Green Diesel, the company?

15 A. Not that I know of, no.

16 Q. Do you know -- through your investigation, did you
17 come to find out whether anyone else had access to the
18 "User ID" PRIVKIN2 to access the EMTS system?

19 A. I believe there was one other employee that was
20 given access and there were employees who were directed
21 to actually produce RINs. But from all of the employee
22 interviews that we had, we were told that Mr. Rivkin
23 specifically directed and oversaw each of the RINs'
24 purchases.

25 Q. And who was the other individual who had access to

1 the ID or login?

2 A. I believe Pierce and Parrish were two of the sellers
3 or buyers that were able to work with him in that.

4 Q. So those were the two people who had access to that
5 login, to your recollection?

6 A. To my recollection. I may -- I may be wrong, but
7 that's what comes to my recollection.

8 Q. And you indicated that there were more individuals
9 that you allege were directed by Mr. Rivkin to produce
10 the RINs. So would the system already be logged into
11 and there's a person sitting there --

12 A. From what we were told, the actual -- that he had
13 an actual RINs Trading Group that actually would go on
14 and trade the RINs, but that they -- he exclusively
15 had access to the PRIVKIN2. There were some other
16 employees who had their own access at one point, and at
17 one point they actually contracted with a company to
18 handle the RINs creations. And then he terminated that
19 contract, if you will, and ended up creating them on
20 his own.

21 Q. So was that -- did he initially have a contract
22 with this company to create the RINs.

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. That's how it started?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. Okay. Which company was that?

2 A. RINSTAR is the actual name of the program and the
3 company that they used initially. And then there was
4 apparently a dispute and they separated, went their
5 different ways, and Mr. Rivkin then ended up doing his
6 own RINs.

7 Q. Do you know what the extent of their involvement in
8 the creation of the RINs was, meaning specifically, did
9 they inspect the plant for production of biodiesel?

10 A. Not to my knowledge. All they were was just a
11 conduit by which Mr. Rivkin would then come to them and
12 say: Okay, we need -- you know, we've produced so many
13 gallons of biodiesel and so, therefore, we need for you
14 to then generate the RINs for us.

15 Q. And did you interview anybody that worked at that
16 company?

17 A. Some of my partners did.

18 Q. Do you know the names of any of those individuals
19 that were interviewed?

20 A. I personally don't remember. I mean, you know, we
21 have them listed in records, but I don't remember
22 exactly who it was.

23 Q. Do you know if Mr. Rivkin provided documentation to
24 that company in order to support the creation of the
25 RINs?

1 A. My recollection is yes, but I don't want to say
2 that for sure.

3 Q. So, if they did -- if Mr. Rivkin did provide
4 documentation to generate the RINs, then you would
5 probably have that or the Government would probably
6 have that in its possession?

7 A. We should have, yes.

8 Q. In paragraph 37 of your Indictment, you indicated
9 that on January 13, 2012, Mr. Rivkin, through his
10 attorney, provided a false written statement to the
11 EPA's Air Enforcement Division. And you kind of
12 already addressed this regarding Sonorawest.

13 MS. LEHNERT: Your Honor, I'm going to have to
14 object here that this is going far afield of just an
15 inquiry into the weight of the evidence. We're not on
16 trial here.

17 THE COURT: Well, I'll go ahead and let her
18 ask that question.

19 Go ahead.

20 BY MS. BELLINGER:

21 Q. Was there an explanation in this letter from
22 Mr. Rivkin and/or of his attorneys of the discrepancy
23 between an earlier representation of the production of
24 biodiesel and the latter assertion that the biodiesel
25 had been purchased to support the RIN creation?

1 A. There -- yes, to my recollection, there was.

2 Q. And what was that explanation?

3 A. What I remember my partners telling me is that he
4 said that he believed that he had followed the spirit
5 of the law as opposed to following the actual law
6 itself for how biodiesel should first be produced and
7 then the RINs generated. But I'm -- that's what I
8 remember.

9 Q. But in that letter he or his attorneys stated that,
10 in fact, the entire amount of biodiesel necessary to
11 support the RINs had either been created or obtained?

12 A. What I remember from the letter was that he said
13 that they had produced biodiesel, when in fact then we
14 found later that they had not.

15 Q. But then later there was some response that
16 included Sonorawest documentation; is that correct?

17 MS. LEHNERT: Your Honor, I'd like to object
18 here. If the defense counsel wants to produce the
19 letter and put that in front of the witness and give
20 the witness a chance to read the letter, that would be
21 fine. But otherwise, I think she's asking the witness
22 about evidence that's not in front of the witness.

23 MS. BELLINGER: Your Honor, the only reason I
24 have knowledge of this letter is that it was mentioned
25 in the Complaint and the Indictment. I don't have a

1 copy of the letter myself, of course. I'm asking her
2 questions regarding the charges in this case.

3 THE COURT: Okay. Do you need the letter?

4 THE WITNESS: It would be helpful. I mean, it
5 asks specific questions. I mean, basically, what we're
6 alleging in the Indictment was that his response was
7 that, yes, that they had in fact, you know, done what
8 they said they did, and we were then able to prove that
9 they had not produced biodiesel.

10 THE COURT: Okay. I think she's told you what
11 you wanted to know.

12 MS. BELLINGER: I understand, Your Honor.

13 Thank you.

14 BY MS. BELLINGER:

15 Q. Do you know who was in charge of overall
16 supervision of the Green Diesel facility?

17 A. Apparently, there were a couple of different
18 individuals. I know early on there was an indication
19 that somebody named Kiki Vong was the plant manager
20 when it was first being built. And then it was Harvey
21 Greenwood was brought in afterward to try and make the
22 plant work, which he said he was never able to get it
23 working.

24 Q. And can anyone place Mr. Rivkin at the actual
25 facility after the time that it was constructed, the

1 Green Diesel facility, meaning was Mr. Rivkin seen
2 there by any of the witnesses in this case?

3 A. I know that I have an interview there with
4 Mr. Huffman where he says he actually went down with
5 Mr. Rivkin to the facility to take a look at it. And
6 then decided not to actually buy into that particular
7 business with Mr. Rivkin because he didn't believe
8 that it would work. Other than that, from what I
9 understand, he was pretty vacant and not there very
10 much.

11 Q. And what do you mean by -- oh, he was not --

12 A. He did not go to the facility very often.

13 Q. The facility, okay.

14 A. From what I understand.

15 Q. And do you know what he may have learned while at
16 the facility, while visiting?

17 A. Well, he was apparently told by his employees that
18 it was not working.

19 Q. And what was that time period that he was notified
20 that it was not working?

21 A. That was around, if I'm remembering correctly,
22 2009.

23 Q. And between 2009 and 2011, Mr. Khan left to build a
24 new facility?

25 A. He -- from what I was told, he went down to the

1 Harlingen facility, where they do actual just
2 construction of components, and then left to go over to
3 Selma, Alabama, where he was to build a new facility
4 there. And that one, you know, was never completed.
5 Mr. Rivkin pulled out of it and so they kind of walked
6 away from Selma.

7 Q. Did you interview anyone that was still at the
8 facility while it was presumably operating between 2009
9 and 2011?

10 A. At the Green Diesel --

11 Q. Yes.

12 A. -- facility?

13 Q. Yes.

14 A. Well, while it was still operating?

15 Q. Yes.

16 A. It never fully operated and it didn't operate after
17 2009.

18 Q. Okay. Were there some workers present during this
19 time period?

20 A. From what we were told, there were some workers
21 that would go in. We had one witness that would tell
22 us they would go in and do oil changes and kind of
23 machinery work, but it was not being used for the
24 purposes of producing biodiesel.

25 Q. And you understood that there was a dehydration

1 system put into place or it was being utilized for that
2 purpose?

3 A. That's what one of the eyewitnesses said, yes.

4 Q. Okay. So it was operational in some capacity
5 between 2009 and 2011?

6 A. Well, in the sense that they were dehydrating, yes.
7 They were pulling water off of fuel, but not operating
8 for the production of biodiesel.

9 Q. And you indicated you weren't certain what type of
10 fuel they were dehydrating, that that was beyond your
11 expertise?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. Okay.

14 A. I'd have to hazard a guess, which I would prefer
15 not to do.

16 Q. Okay. Do you have any copies of internally
17 prepared financial statements of the company for the
18 period that the RINs were being created?

19 A. What type of statements are you referring to?

20 Q. In your investigation, did you review any
21 internally prepared financial statements, any
22 accounting statements, any basically money coming in
23 and money going out of the company during that time?

24 A. That were produced by Mr. Rivkin and his staff?

25 Q. Yes.

1 A. There were a number that were located during a
2 search warrant that we conducted, yes.

3 Q. Okay. And could you determine from reviewing those
4 statements the level of legitimate business, in your
5 estimation, that would have been going on during that
6 time period?

7 A. From what we could tell from the bank -- we also
8 reviewed bank records of approximately 60 different
9 types of accounts that he opened. And from what we
10 could tell, a majority of the activity in the accounts
11 was just money being transferred back and forth, the
12 movement of money. There was some legitimate business
13 that we could identify in there, but it was a very
14 small amount. I couldn't tell you specifically how
15 much, but it's a smaller amount than what we saw coming
16 in from the fraudulent RIN sales and the fraudulent tax
17 credits.

18 Q. What evidence would you say you have in totality
19 that Mr. Rivkin knew that biodiesel had not been
20 produced?

21 A. From the number of witnesses that we spoke to that
22 said he was aware that it was not being produced, from
23 the number of witnesses that said the plant was never
24 producing biodiesel with the exception of that one test
25 batch, with witnesses that told us they spoke to him

1 and told him the plant is not working, we can't -- you
2 know, we can't do what you want to do.

3 Q. Do you have any internal company emails regarding --
4 regarding the fact that Green Diesel was allegedly not
5 producing biodiesel?

6 A. Not to my knowledge, no.

7 Q. And have you reviewed internal company emails?

8 A. We have reviewed some, yes.

9 Q. To your knowledge, do they contain any evidence to
10 support the charges in this case?

11 A. The review of the internal emails was very
12 difficult for us because he -- Mr. Rivkin had an
13 attorney on staff. So most of the -- the attorney was
14 CC'd on most of the emails so that it became taint
15 material. So we were very limited into what we could
16 actually look at.

17 Q. And based on what you did actually look at, did you
18 find any evidence to support the charges in this case?

19 A. No, they were all just verbal conversations, to my
20 knowledge.

21 Q. Has Mr. Rivkin made any admissions regarding the
22 allegations in this case?

23 A. No, I've not -- ever spoke to me personally?

24 Q. To you or any other agents in this case?

25 A. No, we've never spoken to him before this week.

1 Q. Were any consensual phone calls or anything of that
2 nature --

3 A. No.

4 Q. -- conducted with other individuals?

5 To your knowledge, does anyone in Mr. Rivkin's
6 family, are any of them involved in these allegations?

7 A. No.

8 Q. Were the buyers of the RINs aware that they were
9 not supported by actual biodiesel?

10 A. They were not aware of that until at a later time
11 when they were notified by the EPA that there was the
12 discovery made that Green Diesel had not actually been
13 producing biodiesel, so therefore the RINs were
14 invalid. And that's when the energy companies became
15 aware that they had been defrauded.

16 Q. Would there have been any responsibility on their
17 part to ensure that these RINs were backed by the
18 actual production or purchase of biodiesel?

19 A. I can't speak to that. My understanding is that
20 the program is a self-report. Then it's incumbent upon
21 Mr. Rivkin to be honest and truthful and then incumbent
22 upon the oil companies to also recognize that biodiesel
23 should be being produced. But I don't know anything
24 beyond that.

25 Q. How did this investigation first begin? How did

1 the EPA know to look into this as potential fraud?

2 A. When they were reviewing some of their electronic
3 documents, the electronic documenting system that they
4 have, they began to see there were large numbers of
5 RINs being produced along with gallons being reported
6 by Mr. Rivkin. So they became suspicious and began to
7 look into what was truly happening with Green Diesel.

8 Q. Were the gallons, I guess, that were alleged to be
9 created or converted as biodiesel, maybe -- I don't
10 know the proper terminology -- would it be possible for
11 that quantity of biodiesel to be created within that
12 time period given his factory? Did it have something
13 to do with --

14 MS. LEHNERT: Objection, Your Honor, this is
15 calling for speculation on the part of the witness.

16 THE COURT: Sustained.

17 MS. LEHNERT: This goes beyond the weight --

18 THE COURT: Sustained.

19 BY MS. BELLINGER:

20 Q. Well, you indicated that there were very large
21 amounts of biodiesel being created and that's what
22 tipped off the EPA; correct?

23 A. That there were large amounts being reported --

24 Q. Reported.

25 A. -- through the EMTS system to the EPA.

1 Q. Okay. And were these amounts atypical of other
2 companies engaged in the same activity?

3 A. That's what I understand. When the EPA actually
4 began looking into Mr. Rivkin and Green Diesel, they
5 found that he was one of the largest producers of RINS
6 in the country at the time. So it became suspicious
7 because there weren't any other companies that were
8 producing the volume that he was claiming that he was
9 producing.

10 Q. What was the first action taken at that time? Was
11 that when a request was made or an inspection was done?

12 A. My understanding, that's when the inspection was
13 done.

14 Q. Okay. and was the inspection -- as a result of the
15 inspection, did the EPA feel as though it had inclusive
16 evidence at that time that fraudulent activity had
17 occurred?

18 MS. LEHNERT: Objection, Your Honor. Again,
19 this is calling for a conclusion on the part of the
20 witness that isn't relevant here to the weight of the
21 evidence.

22 THE COURT: Rephrase that question,
23 Ms. Bellinger.

24 BY MS. BELLINGER:

25 Q. At the time that the inspection occurred, was it

1 clear to the inspectors that it was not possible that
2 this amount of biodiesel had either been created or
3 purchased in this facility?

4 A. My understanding was that they -- that they were
5 concerned, and so that's why they reported to -- there
6 are two different sides of the EPA, the civil side and
7 the criminal side, and they reported to the criminal
8 side that they should initiate a criminal investigation
9 because they were concerned that the amount of RINs in
10 gallons that were claimed that they were being produced
11 were not, in fact, being produced.

12 Q. So, when they inspected the facility, they
13 determined that more investigation was necessary?

14 A. They turned the case over to the criminal side,
15 which is, from what I understand, you know, a
16 completely different entity or unit within the EPA.

17 Q. So, could they tell just by walking into the
18 facility that the RINs were created?

19 A. I have no idea.

20 Q. Do you know whether Mr. Rivkin was advised of
21 potential criminal charges prior to moving out of the
22 country?

23 A. He was not advised, no. Whether he suspected that
24 there was something coming, I have no idea. There were
25 a couple of other major investigations being conducted

1 at that time frame on other biodiesel producers, and
2 so the word that we had gotten from staff is that
3 everybody had become very nervous because they were --
4 "everybody" meaning the staff that was working at Green
5 Diesel, Fuel Streamers, his various companies, because
6 they knew that these other cases were taking place,
7 these other individuals were being indicted; and that
8 Mr. Rivkin, they believed there was a problem with the
9 RINs and that he actually may be criminally responsible
10 for reporting that he had, in fact, produced biodiesel
11 that he had not.

12 Q. In response to EPA inquiries, Mr. Rivkin's -- were
13 Mr. Rivkin's responses prepared by attorneys or were
14 the --

15 A. My understanding is yes, they were prepared
16 through his attorneys and forwarded by his attorneys.

17 Q. Okay. And do you know the names of those
18 attorneys?

19 A. It's a group out of Dallas. Roper and -- I'm
20 sorry, I know that he had a number of attorneys and I'm
21 trying to remember exactly which ones were the civil
22 side versus the criminal side.

23 Q. Okay. Were these his civil attorneys?

24 A. They represented the company, from what I
25 understand, and not him personally.

1 Q. And had they already been involved in representing
2 the company prior to the EPA request?

3 A. That I do not know.

4 MS. BELLINGER: Nothing further, Your Honor.

5 THE COURT: Ms. Lehnert?

6 MS. LEHNERT: Thank you, Your Honor.

7 **REDIRECT EXAMINATION**

8 **BY MS. LEHNERT:**

9 Q. Just to follow up on a few things, Agent Bauer.

10 Ms. Bellinger was asking you about the
11 information that was submitted by Mr. Rivkin and his
12 attorneys. Did Mr. Rivkin submit a certification that
13 was signed by him --

14 A. Yes, he did.

15 Q. -- as part of the information he submitted to EPA?

16 A. Yes, he did.

17 Q. And based on your investigation, do you recall
18 when the inspection was done that EPA conducted at the
19 facility?

20 A. In August of 2011.

21 Q. And again, based on your investigation, do you
22 have any information that supports the idea that the
23 facility could produce "on spec" biodiesel at that
24 time?

25 A. No.

1 Q. And during the inspection, can you describe for
2 the Court what was observed about the condition of the
3 facility in terms of its ability to operate?

4 A. Apparently, their appropriate permits were not
5 obtained, so therefore he could not obtain electricity.
6 There were problems with getting steam to the plants,
7 so the plant was not able to run because of a number of
8 issues.

9 Q. What about piping and other parts of the facility
10 being disconnected?

11 A. Absolutely.

12 Q. And I wanted to confirm what I believe I heard
13 from a question Ms. Bellinger asked you. Regarding
14 Mr. Rivkin's PRIVKIN2 "User ID", is it your
15 understanding that that was exclusive to Mr. Rivkin?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. And that when you asked -- when you mentioned a
18 couple of other employees, Aaron Parrish and John
19 Pierce, is it your understanding they had their own
20 "User IDs" --

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. -- for the system that EPA has?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. What is your understanding of when Mr. Rivkin left
25 the country?

1 A. In November of 2011.

2 Q. Was that after the EPA inspection?

3 A. Yes, it was.

4 Q. And based on your investigation, did you learn any
5 information from any of the witnesses that have been
6 interviewed about whether Mr. Rivkin was leaving
7 because of the EPA inspection?

8 A. The general consensus in the office was that he was
9 leaving because of the inspection. The word that we
10 got from staff members is they were very concerned
11 because they felt like he had left the country and left
12 the office floundering. Around the time that he left,
13 the staff was ordered to shred all the documents in the
14 company that the company had, and so there weren't a
15 lot of documents that were left once he left the
16 country for them to even continue running things.

17 Q. And based on the "User ID" of PRIVKIN2 being
18 within Mr. Rivkin's exclusive use, were there any RINS
19 generated by anyone else that you're aware of?

20 A. No.

21 Q. And is it your understanding that Mr. Rivkin was
22 aware that the facility was not working?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. And who was in charge of RIN sales transactions and
25 coordinating any RIN sales transactions?

1 A. Was that John Pierce?

2 Q. And at whose direction did he --

3 A. He took complete direction from Mr. Rivkin.

4 Q. And with respect to any alleged legitimate business
5 that Mr. Rivkin had, where was funding coming for that
6 legitimate, based on your review of the bank records in
7 this case?

8 A. It was being funded by the fraudulent RIN sales.

9 All of the funds were being funneled through one
10 account to the other account.

11 MS. LEHNERT: Nothing further, Your Honor.

12 THE COURT: Ms. Bellinger, anything further?

13 MS. BELLINGER: Just briefly, Your Honor.

14 THE COURT: All right.

15 **RECROSS-EXAMINATION**

16 **BY MS. BELLINGER:**

17 Q. I just wanted to clarify. I thought on
18 cross-examination I asked if the PRIVKIN2, if anyone
19 else had access to that user name, and you indicated
20 that two other individuals did.

21 A. No, I'm sorry, I misspoke. What I was trying to
22 say is there were two other individuals that he had
23 actually instructed to be able to have accounts. And
24 so that was entirely my fault. I misspoke.

25 Q. So I guess throughout your investigation you did

1 not hear that anyone else had access to the PRIVKIN2
2 login?

3 A. Not to PRIVKIN2, no.

4 Q. Okay. And did you hear that he, I guess, directed
5 anyone else to create RINs?

6 A. There were other individuals that were allowed to
7 create, yes, with their own passwords that they had,
8 but they were completely under the direction of him,
9 from witness testimony.

10 Q. I think you just testified that the only RINs that
11 were ever created were created by the PRIVKIN2; is that
12 correct?

13 A. Yes, I'm not making sense, I apologize. Yes, there
14 were two other individuals who had -- who had access to
15 be able to create RINs, but the ones that we have
16 alleged in the Indictment were just from the PRIVKIN2
17 account.

18 Q. So additional RINs were created by other people in
19 the company?

20 A. From my knowledge early on in a time frame that we
21 did not include in the investigation, such as when
22 RINSTAR -- when they were using the RINSTAR, then they
23 were actually going through another company to produce
24 RINs, but those particular RINs were not included in
25 this portion of the Indictment.

1 Q. But other individuals within Mr. Rivkin's company,
2 at Mr. Rivkin's direction, also created RINs?

3 A. The only RINs that we have alleged are RINs that
4 were created by PRIVKIN2.

5 Q. But were there other RINs created?

6 A. You know, that's not my area of expertise. We
7 really focused on what he created and we included those
8 as -- in the Indictment for the particular RINs that
9 were false.

10 MS. BELLINGER: Nothing further, Your Honor.

11 **EXAMINATION BY THE COURT**

12 THE COURT: I have some questions. What do
13 you know about this Marilee address, if anything?

14 THE WITNESS: The address that is actually
15 listed on the document is owned by another individual,
16 not owned by Mr. Rivkin. It is a single house. It is
17 not a core unit. And we've been over to take a look at
18 it. We do not show any connection with that particular
19 residence and Mr. Rivkin, his wife, or any family
20 members.

21 THE COURT: Do you know who owns it?

22 THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. I ran it. It's
23 actually -- I don't have the name. It's easy to come
24 up with HCAD.

25 MS. LEHNERT: Your Honor, I can provide the

1 name.

2 THE WITNESS: Yes, thank you.

3 THE COURT: All right. But you're saying it
4 has no relation to Mr. Rivkin or his family?

5 THE WITNESS: No, ma'am, it has no relation.

6 I ran it and I left it in the office.

7 THE COURT: Okay. And it's a single-family
8 dwelling, it's not a multi-family complex --

9 THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. We actually rode
10 over there yesterday and took a look at it and it's
11 single-family.

12 THE COURT: All right. And tell me about some
13 artwork that was seized, something about New Jersey
14 or --

15 THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. We were provided
16 information that there was a large art collection that
17 Mr. Rivkin owned. And being concerned that the money
18 could possibly be used to be laundered through this
19 art, we had an agent who was able to go out and locate
20 the company in Houston who had possession of it. We
21 learned that it was shipped to New Jersey and were able
22 to trace the proceeds of the fraud directly to the
23 purchase of the artwork. So we obtained a seizure
24 warrant and the artwork is currently being stored in
25 New York and the judicial proceedings is still ongoing.

1 THE COURT: And when did this take place?

2 THE WITNESS: This was in July of 2012. The
3 information we had from witnesses is that it was
4 shipped -- it was shipped from Houston to New Jersey,
5 then moved to New York to their docks there. And the
6 day that we actually seized it, it was scheduled to be
7 shipped to Spain.

8 THE COURT: Do you know of any family members
9 that Mr. Rivkin has in the Southern District of Texas?

10 THE WITNESS: I do not. I know that there
11 were some extended family at some point that were
12 related to his wife, but I do not have the knowledge of
13 any family here.

14 THE COURT: And I believe Mr. Lombard said the
15 value of the artwork was 15 to 16 million dollars; is
16 that correct?

17 THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. We showed that he
18 paid approximately 22 million for it, but when we had
19 it appraised, it was actually around 16 million.

20 THE COURT: Do you know where Mr. Rivkin has
21 bank accounts?

22 THE WITNESS: We were not able to trace the
23 bank accounts. There were a number of intermediary
24 banks that were used to facilitate the wires. We know
25 that there are not -- we were not able to locate any

1 funds here in the United States. We've used every
2 means to our disposal to try and locate those funds,
3 and it appears that everything has been moved overseas.
4 That's from our review of the bank records along with
5 testimony from witnesses.

6 THE COURT: Do you know where overseas?

7 THE WITNESS: I don't. I know there was an
8 allegation that there was some in the Caribbean, some
9 in Switzerland, I believe some in Spain, and an account
10 with BNP Paribas that is headquartered in Paris, but I
11 don't know exactly where -- haven't been able to find
12 it, Your Honor.

13 THE COURT: Anything further, Ms. Bellinger?

14 MS. BELLINGER: No, Your Honor.

15 THE COURT: Or Ms. Lehnert?

16 MS. LEHNERT: No, Your Honor.

17 THE COURT: All right. Any further witnesses,
18 Ms. Lehnert?

19 MS. LEHNERT: No, Your Honor.

20 THE COURT: Can Ms. Bellinger be excused --
21 I'm sorry, Ms. Bauer. Too many B's.

22 MS. LEHNERT: Yes, Your Honor.

23 THE COURT: Okay. You may step down. Thank
24 you.

25 Ms. Bellinger, any witnesses or proffers?

1 MS. BELLINGER: No, Your Honor.

2 THE COURT: Any argument on the question of
3 pretrial detention?

4 MS. BELLINGER: Yes, Your Honor.

5 THE COURT: All right. Ms. Lehnert, do you
6 have any argument?

7 MS. LEHNERT: Very briefly, Your Honor.

8 The nature of the charges here, we have a
9 68-count Indictment that, if the defendant were found
10 guilty and convicted and the sentences were to run
11 consecutively, puts him at risk of approximately 846
12 years. The weight of the evidence, as you've heard the
13 testimony over the past two days, is substantial as far
14 as -- and we also have returned Indictment showing that
15 the Grand Jury did find probable cause to bring this
16 Indictment. In particular, we're looking at something
17 in excess of \$75 million that we have traced to leaving
18 the country that is otherwise unaccounted for.

19 As far as the history and characteristics
20 of the defendant, at this point the critical issues
21 there are that after the EPA began investigating this
22 matter in August of 2011, the defendant left the
23 country in November of 2011 or thereabouts. And from
24 there, he then secured Guatemalan citizenship under
25 false pretenses based on the expulsion order, and has

1 since been expelled. We determined that he had a false
2 identity down in Guatemala. He has, as best as we can
3 tell, substantial financial resources outside the
4 country based on the evidence that we have in looking
5 at his bank records.

6 We are also aware that he has no ties at
7 this time to Houston. Whatever ties he had, his wife
8 and son moved with him to Spain. He has no property
9 here. The only address he lists on his pretrial report
10 is an incorrect one. And at this point we believe that
11 given his extensive international travels that Agent
12 Lombard testified to, he presents a serious risk of
13 flight and we ask that he be detained pretrial.

14 THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Lehnert.

15 Ms. Bellinger?

16 MS. BELLINGER: Your Honor, we believe that
17 there are conditions that could be put into place that
18 would allow Mr. Rivkin to show up in court and would
19 address any issues that the Court may have regarding a
20 risk of flight.

21 First, we would submit that I understand
22 that the Government has raised some issues on him being
23 a risk of flight regarding ties to other countries and
24 the fact that he moved to Spain. However, the statute
25 requires that he be a serious risk of flight.

1 Your Honor, there are a few issues that
2 need clarification, and if us providing that further
3 clarification would change the Court's mind, then we
4 will further investigate and provide that clarification
5 at a later time.

6 One is that in speaking with Mr. Rivkin,
7 the address on Marilee Street, he said he's owned
8 several properties historically on that street and
9 cannot remember the street number, but they do
10 currently own a condo in his wife's name on Marilee
11 Street. We've had some difficulty getting in touch
12 with his wife because she's been en route back to the
13 United States, so we haven't been able to verify the
14 address yet, but we could provide that to the Court if
15 it would be material in the decision.

16 He's indicated that he could live at that
17 condo. He has a father -- sorry, a brother-in-law and
18 sister-in-law, Cheri Finn and John Finn, as well as his
19 niece, Marissa, that all live here in the Houston area,
20 and could be interviewed and serve as third-party
21 custodians. He would more than happy to wear any type
22 of ankle monitor and give up any and all passports.

23 He, as I stated, has ties here, but more
24 than anything, in speaking with him, he would never
25 flee federal charges. He feels as though that would be

1 a bad example to set for his children and he has no
2 intention of doing so. He's ready to face his
3 responsibility.

4 I'd just like to give some background on
5 issues, clarification on the case. At the same time
6 and in the same month actually that the EPA inspected
7 the facility in August of 2011, Mr. Rivkin had a very
8 traumatic home invasion. This is also corroborated by
9 witness statements provided by the Government that
10 other individuals in his company were aware of this
11 home invasion. Since that time, Mr. Rivkin has
12 suffered from some mental health concerns which were
13 later diagnosed as PTSD and depression. He indicated
14 to me that when he first arrived in Spain, he spent a
15 year in the house unable to leave or perform any normal
16 functions and only subsequently became functional and
17 got back to his business matters there.

18 Additionally -- and this is corroborated
19 by witness statements provided by the Government -- he
20 did have business in Europe. Prior to that time, there
21 was the exportation of ethanol that he was involved in
22 and this was a legitimate business outside of this
23 biodiesel issue, and that he had his headquarters in
24 Europe in Antwerp and had been anticipating a move to
25 Barcelona of his headquarters.

1 So those additional two personal and
2 business reasons also facilitated his move.

3 His wife, as I stated, is now back here in
4 the country; and as I indicated, he would be more than
5 willing to submit to any conditions that the Court
6 deems appropriate.

At this time, Mr. Rivkin, I find by a preponderance of the evidence that there is a serious risk of flight that can't be addressed by any conditions of release that have been proposed at this time. There aren't any viable conditions that have been proposed.

1 here in Houston. You have no family here that has been
2 presented. You have no business ties to this district
3 any more. There is the issue of the artwork that was
4 seized on its way out of the country that was worth
5 substantial sums of money. You have apparently access
6 to funds outside the United States that are unaccounted
7 for.

8 And there are no conditions at this time
9 that have been presented, Ms. Bellinger, that I think
10 would reasonably assure Mr. Rivkin's appearance at
11 trial.

12 So, for that reason, I'm going to remand
13 you to the custody of the U.S. Marshals. They will
14 make certain that you get back and forth to trial on
15 the days -- to court on the days you need to be here.
16 If it's possible, they will keep you separated from
17 those people who have been convicted of a crime and who
18 are waiting to find out what their punishment is, as
19 well as separated from those people who have been
20 convicted and punished for some time, but who have
21 their cases on appeal.

22 And you do have the right to have private
23 meetings with your attorneys. Ms. Bellinger knows how
24 to make arrangements for those private meetings with
25 her, her colleagues, or investigators with her office.

1 Now, I would like to give you the
2 opportunity to plead not guilty to the charge this
3 afternoon so that your trial date can be set and you
4 can begin working on fighting the charges.

5 And if there are any viable conditions of
6 release that you can propose, Ms. Bellinger, be sure
7 and bring them to my attention. And again, if there's
8 anything in the Grand Jury transcript, be sure and let
9 me know that as well.

10 MS. LEHNERT: Your Honor.

11 THE COURT: Yes.

12 MS. LEHNERT: May I ask a question just for
13 clarification --

14 THE COURT: Sure.

15 MS. LEHNERT: -- so that we're all on the same
16 page with respect to the Grand Jury transcript?

17 THE COURT: Sure.

18 MS. LEHNERT: I'll obviously be putting in a
19 request for that as soon as possible.

20 THE COURT: Sure.

21 MS. LEHNERT: Once I secure the Grand Jury
22 transcript, do I provide a copy only to you or do I
23 provide a copy to both you and Ms. Bellinger?

24 THE COURT: Oh no, just give it to
25 Ms. Bellinger. I don't need to get it.

1 MS. LEHNERT: Okay.

2 THE COURT: Mr. Rivkin, you did receive a copy
3 of the Indictment; correct?

4 DEFENDANT RIVKIN: Yes, Your Honor.

5 THE COURT: And you understand that in that
6 Indictment you're accused of engaging in activity
7 which, if proven, would be wire fraud, mail fraud,
8 violations of the Clean Air Act, and money laundering?
9 You understand those accusations?

10 DEFENDANT RIVKIN: Yes, Your Honor.

11 THE COURT: Do you understand further that if
12 you were found guilty beyond a reasonable doubt of any
13 of those accusations, the judge who's hearing your case
14 would have to punish you in some way?

15 DEFENDANT RIVKIN: Yes, Your Honor.

16 THE COURT: In this case, Ms. Lehnert, what's
17 the range of punishment to which Mr. Rivkin would be
18 subject if you can prove these accusations?

19 MS. LEHNERT: With respect to Counts 1 through
20 16, which are charges brought that are wire fraud
21 charges, not more than 20 years, along with a fine that
22 could be up to 250,000 or not more than 250,000, but
23 also an alternative fine based on gain of loss.

24 Under Counts 17 through 19, which are mail
25 fraud charges, again, the term for imprisonment is not

more than 20 years, a fine that may be an alternative fine based on gain or loss, or not more than 250,000.

Counts 20 through 22 are Clean Air Act
false statements. Those charges bring an imprisonment
term of not more than two years, with a fine, either an
alternative fine based on gain or loss or not more than
250,000.

DEFENDANT RIVKIN: Yes, Your Honor.

1 DEFENDANT RIVKIN: Yes, Your Honor.

2 THE COURT: Now, I'm sure Ms. Bellinger has
3 told you that you have the right to have Ms. Lehnert
4 read to you every word of that Grand Jury Indictment
5 before you enter your plea of not guilty. Would that
6 be necessary?

7 DEFENDANT RIVKIN: No, Your Honor.

8 THE COURT: All right, then how do you plead
9 to those counts of the Indictment in which you're
10 named?

11 DEFENDANT RIVKIN: Not guilty, Your Honor.

12 THE COURT: On your plea of not guilty, your
13 trial date has been set aside for you already. You're
14 scheduled to go to trial on September 15th at 9:00 in
15 the morning. You'll have to be in court ready for
16 trial on that day.

17 You'll also have to be in court on
18 September 8th at 8:45 in the morning. That's the last
19 meeting that the judge will have with the lawyers to
20 make certain that everybody is ready for trial. You
21 may have to answer some questions or make a decision
22 on that day, so you have to be present to assist your
23 lawyer then.

24 I'm going to give you a copy of this trial
25 schedule. You'll see some other dates on there. They

1 are deadlines for the lawyers to give information to
2 your trial judge so that there's no unnecessary delay
3 in getting your case resolved. I want you to sign the
4 original of this trial schedule and you'll get a copy
5 of it, as well.

6 Do you have any questions, Mr. Rivkin?

7 DEFENDANT RIVKIN: No, Your Honor. Thank you.

11 || Anything else --

12 MS. BELLINGER: Your Honor, may I address two
13 matters?

14 THE COURT: Yes, sure.

15 MS. BELLINGER: I apologize.

16 THE COURT: Oh, there's one matter I need to
17 address with you, and that is, when Ms. Meyers was in
18 court last week, I asked her for a financial statement
19 under seal so that I could address a repayment to the
20 Criminal Justice Fund on Mr. Rivkin's behalf.

21 MS. BELLINGER: Yes, Your Honor, that was one
22 of the issues I wanted to address. I just wanted some
23 clarification on what the Court is requesting. I
24 assume it's not just based upon here and submitted to
25 Pretrial Services.

1 THE COURT: No, because there was --
2 Mr. Rivkin didn't have the information about his
3 foreign bank accounts, and I need that information so I
4 can determine how much he should repay.

5 MS. BELLINGER: Okay.

6 THE COURT: Because he needs to repay some
7 money to the Criminal Justice Fund.

8 MS. BELLINGER: So, basically, foreign bank
9 accounts or assets --

10 THE COURT: From which I can call some money
11 to help repay the public. Okay?

12 MS. BELLINGER: Thank you, Your Honor. I had
13 two other issues just regarding -- because the Court
14 has ordered him detained.

15 One is that he is currently or he was on
16 medication and is currently going through withdrawals
17 from the medication with depression, and he is worried
18 his mental state will decline if he doesn't get back on
19 that medication quickly. I just wanted to advise the
20 Court of that.

21 THE COURT: Where is he being held?

22 MS. BELLINGER: Joe Corley. And that's our
23 other request. Given the complexity of this case, as
24 the Court can see, I expect voluminous discovery and a
25 lot more meetings with the client than are required of

1 a typical case. We'd ask the Court to consider and
2 order that he be held at FDC to assist with his defense
3 in this case.

4 THE COURT: Okay. I don't have a problem with
5 that. Let me ask the Marshals two things:

6 Where will Mr. Rivkin get a quicker visit
7 with the doctor? At the FDC or at Joe Corley?

8 DEPUTY MARSHAL: We can make a call to Joe
9 Corley and they will --

10 THE COURT: Okay.

11 DEPUTY MARSHAL: -- make sure he gets seen.

12 THE COURT: Okay. Have him see the doctor
13 immediately at Joe Corley so he can get whatever
14 medication he needs to get on, and then see if he can
15 be transferred to the FDC. And I'll order that if it's
16 available. Okay?

17 DEPUTY MARSHAL: Okay.

18 THE COURT: Anything else?

19 MS. BELLINGER: Thank you very much, Your
20 Honor.

21 THE COURT: All right, thank you.

22 MS. LEHNERT: Thank you, Your Honor.

23 THE COURT: Y'all can be excused.

24 **[4:00 p.m. - Proceedings adjourned]**

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript of the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

/s/ Gwen Reed

8-26-14

GLR TRANSCRIBERS
9251 Lynne Circle
Orange, Texas 77630 * 866-993-1313